USING NENGO.AI FOR DEVELOPING MODELS OF SPEECH PRODUCTION AND SPEECH PERCEPTION

Bernd J. Kröger

0

Neurophonetics Group, Phoniatrics Department, Medical Faculty

RWTH-Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

www.speechtrainer.eu

Introduction

- Motivation: Why neural models?
- The NENGO.ai framework
- Our speech processing model (production and perception)
 - architecture of the modeled brain (modeling patients)
 - Scenarios: simulated tasks -> simulated behavior (modeling screenings)
 - speech movement generation
- Concluding remarks

Motivation: Why neural models?

Neural models

- can simulate macroscopic behavior if they are large-scale models
 - whole brain plus motor out and sensory in components:
 - CNS (whole brain) plus PNS towards vocal tract and ears
- can give clear definitions of neural dysfunctions
 - we can "destroy" neurons in specific parts of model
 - we study the resulting behavior of model: -> symptoms of speech disorders

Motivation: Why neural models?

Models are simplifications of reality and thus help to understand

- basic functional principles of neural processes, (here: for speech production and perception)
- relationship between neural processes and behavior and thus: e.g., how a neural dysfunction may change behavior (-> how a ... leads to specific symptoms)?

The NENGO.ai framework

- "Neural ENGineering Objects" framework:
- <u>www.nengo.ai</u>
- Nengo is open source
- Python based: -> runs on: Windows, Mac OS X and Linux
- Profound scientific background:
 - Eliasmith, C. (2013). How to build a brain: A neural architecture for biological cognition. *Oxford University Press*.

NENGO comprises

- basic neuron models (e.g., LIF-model) -> generation of spikes
- concepts or strategies for connecting neurons:
 - neuron ensembles, neuron buffers, short-term memories, associative memories, binding buffers, ...
- strategies for coding functional <u>items</u> which need to be processed by the model (concepts, phonol. forms, motor plans)
- fully developed model for for neural process control over time (BG-Thalamus-complex: cortico-cortical control loop)
- strategy for connecting the periphery: -> large-scale model
 - sensory input: eyes and/or ears
 - motor output: arms and/or the speech articulators

Basis of our model: LIF neurons

• 50 neurons -> lead to a sufficient coding of input values



A basic feature of spiking neuron models (vs. parametric models): generated signals are stochastic and noisy in nature → typical biological signals

- post synaptic currents added -> encoded signal
- + current (onneurons)
- current (offneurons)

50-100 neurons -> neuron ensemble

- represent values
 - e.g., muscular activation strength
 - or: sensory input value





50-100 ensembles -> neuron buffer

- Represent items:
 - motor plan of a syllable (complex!)
 - phonetic or phonological forms (sounds, syllables words)
 - lexemes (language-specific)
 - concepts (meanings) ((-> "thoughts"))



Semantic Pointer Architecture

- buffer represents complex information (<u>items</u> to process)
- mathematically: items are represented by vectors (called: Spointers)
- SPA (Semantic Pointer Architecture is an important conceptual part of NENGO)

NENGO: S-pointer-networks

- ... define an ordering of items:
- define the degree of similarity of items: e.g.,:
 - at concept level: <dog> <cat> -> animals; <chair> -> furniture
 - at phonological level: /car/ /cat/ -> begin with /k/; /far/ /fat/ -> with /f/
- (mathematically: similar S-pointers point in a similar direction)



Speech processing model: Architecture

- ... is a large-scale neural model
- Perception / production pathways: a chain of <u>buffers</u>, connected by <u>associative memories</u> (arrows)
- Knowledge and skill repositories: mental lexicon, mental syllabary implemented as <u>S-pointer networks</u> (concepts, phonological forms, motor plans)



Speech processing model: Architecture

- in addition:
- action control by BG and Thalamus model
- internal feedback loops: semantic level, phonological level, sensorimotor level
- external feedback loop: motor-articulatory-acoustic-auditory



The cognitive processing level

- Short-term memories, e.g., for memorizing word lists (-> serial recall task, perception + production tasks)
- realized as recursive buffers (neural within-associations)



The cognitive processing level

- Binding / unbinding is realized by specific neural structures -> binding buffers vs. normal S-pointer buffers
 - at concept level: <dog> <cat> -> animals; <chair> -> furniture
 - <dog>*<is_a> -> <animal>



The definition of scenarios

- So far: the neural architecture of the model:
- In addition: we need to define the task which needs to be executed
- -> definition of scenarios
- Scenarios: speech tasks: (medical screenings)
 - Picture naming (visual input -> production)
 - word comprehension (auditory input -> naming of superordinate term)
 - word (logatome / syllable) repetition





Kröger et al. (2020)

pathways

lemma

concept

Example: Simulation of disordered speech

- Goal -> modelling of different types of aphasia
- Want to see typical symptoms:
- Simulation of three tasks:
 - picture naming
 - word comprehension
 - logatome repetition
- So: we need neural damage at different parts of the model

Implementation of neural dysfunctions

• Lesions at different levels of the model:

- Phonological state buffer -> Broca / Wernicke aphasia
- Associative memories between phono-lemma buffer -> transcortical motor / sensory aphasia
- Associative memories between lemma-concept buffers -> mixed aphasia
- Neural association between phono-phono buffers -> conduction aphasia









Neural dysfunctions

- Lesions are realized by ablation ("die off" / "die back" process) for a percentage of neurons of a buffer
- -> a percentage of neurons is "destroyed" / "switched off"
- -> decrease in task performance





Kröger et al. (2020)

The definition of scenarios

- So far: simple tasks and implementation of neural dysfunctions
- we want to demonstrate the power of the neural model:
- We tried to simulate more complex tasks:
 - to simulate overburdening -> may lead to speech errors
- Further speech screenings:

Result:

- Typical symptoms occurring in different subtypes of aphasia can be modelled correctly
- E.g.;
 - decrease performance of speech production -> Broca
 - ... perception -> Wernicke
 - ...

Further goal: demonstrate the power of our model

- Can we model more **complex tasks**?
- Can we model overburdening situations?
- Further speech screenings: (-> demonstrating realism of model)
 - E.g.: Picture naming in case of lexical access problems ("tip of the tongue" case):
 - Problem to find a correct lexeme (in case of full concept activation!)
 - facilitation (help) by introducing phonological and semantic cues -> increase in performance

Kroeger BJ, Stille C, Blouw P, Bekolay T, Stewart TC (2020) Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience, 14:99. <u>www.speechtrainer.eu</u> -> publications







pathways

phonological cue in!

Kröger et al. (2020)

Conclusion: cues are helpful

- 2 different types of cues are helpful: semantic / phonological
- Starting with 20-45% of correct word naming (because of neural dysfunction)
- increase to about 55-70% as result of cues
- (this type of scenario sometimes is used in lexical access screenings)

The sensorimotor part of the model

- Results shown so far -> cortical / lexical part of the model
- Now: how to model the sensorimotor part?
- How to generate articulatory speech movement patterns using a spiking neuron model?
- How to generate speech gesture scores (speech movement unit / speech action scores)?



The sensorimotor part of the model

Idea: Basic concept for the production side: realized using

• motor plan (= gesture score) realized as neural oscillator

 gesture (= speech movement unit SMU: vocalic, consonantal, velopharyngeal, glottal) -> neural oscillator

Neural oscillators are buffers:



- Buffers with recursive neural connections -> short-term memories or oscillators
- activation transfer from 50% of the neurons to the other 50% of the neurons of the buffer and back ...







Example word: /bas kum tip/

picture is generated by Nengo_gui →











Gesture oscillators: degree of neural activation -> degree of realization of a gesture -> "abstract" movement trajectory

View Values Sound Properties ? File Sagittal cut view List 0 0 0 2.0 tongue_low /b/ /s/ lips_clos ttip_up glott_open 1.5 articulator displacement [rel. units] /a/ 1.0 0.5 0.0 -0.5 + 0.0 (iii) Text: bass 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 time [sec] Transcription: bas Speed: 1... ≎

2D-articulatory model

Why oscillators?

- Oscillators define time intervals (<-> duration of one oscillation cycle)
- Oscillators are able to define timing of actions:
 - actions may start at specific points in time within an oscillation cycle -> phase values

Application of our approach: Modeling different speaking rates

- phase values (coded in the motor plan / syllable level) stay constant
- oscillator frequency for gestures stays constant (coding vocalic and consonantal articulator velocities)
- oscillator frequency of syllable oscillators (motor plan level) is allowed to vary!! -> (increases with increasing speaking rate)

Kroeger, BJ, Bekolay T, Blouw P, Stewart TC (2020) Proceedings of the International Seminar on Speech Production ISSP2020. Yale University, New Haven, CT. <u>www.spechtrainer.eu</u> -> publications

Results

- constant phasing of actions leads to correct production of speech sounds at each speaking rate:
- slow speaking rate:

no increase in articulatory effort per speech action



Results

- constant phasing of actions leads to correct production of speech sounds at each speaking rate:
- normal speaking rate:

no increase in articulatory effort per speech action



Results

- constant phasing of actions leads to correct production of speech sounds at each speaking rate:
- fast speaking rate:

no increase in articulatory effort per speech action



Overall conclusions

- the large-scale model approximates human behavior surprisingly well in many cases: e.g.,
 - Modeling different types of aphasia -> decrease of performance for production or perception or repetition tasks, depending on the type of dysfunction
 - cues are helpful in case of "tip of the tongue"-situations: -> increase of correct word naming from 20-45% (no cues) to 55-70% -> cues are helpful in specific situations of overburdening (borderline situations)
- a basic concept for sensorimotor part of the model exists

Benefits for medical research from modeling:

Medical / speech therapy research:

- creation of specific "model patients" suffering from specific neural dysfunctions with specific degrees of severity
- multiple simulations can be performed: with each specific model patient
- that allows to optimize medical screenings (evaluate its sensitivity for a specific disorder)

THANK YOU YERY MUCH

Download this talk as pdf from: <u>www.speechtrainer.eu</u> -> publications

